

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

| |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| USDC SDNY DOCUMENT ELECTRONICALLY FILED DOC #: DATE FILED: 6/14/2021 |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|

-----X
 EMANUELE RIGANO, individually and on behalf of all
 other persons similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

-v-

PICCOLA CUCINA GROUP, et al.,

Defendants.
 -----X

21-cv-0724 (LJL)

ORDER

LEWIS J. LIMAN, United States District Judge:


The Court has been informed that the parties have reached a settlement in principle in this case arising under the Fair Labor Standards Act (“FLSA”) and the New York Labor Law. Under current Second Circuit law, any settlement arising under the FLSA—including any proposed attorney’s fee award—must be scrutinized by the Court to ensure that it is fair. *See Fisher v. SD Protection Inc.*, 948 F.3d 593, 600 (2d. Cir. 2020); *Cheeks v. Freeport Pancake House, Inc.*, 796 F.3d 199 (2d Cir. 2015).

Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED that, on or before June 28, 2021, the parties must submit the settlement agreement to the Court along with a joint letter explaining the basis for the proposed settlement and why it should be approved as fair and reasonable, with reference to the factors discussed in *Wolinsky v. Scholastic, Inc.*, 900 F. Supp. 2d 332, 335-36 (S.D.N.Y. 2012). The letter should address any confidentiality provisions, non-disparagement provisions, or releases in the proposed settlement agreement. The letter should also address, if applicable, any incentive payments to plaintiff and any attorney’s fee award to plaintiff’s counsel (with documentation to support the latter, if appropriate) consistent with the principles set forth in

Fisher, 948 F.3d at 600. It is not sufficient to state the proportion of the requested attorney’s fee to the overall settlement amount. Rather, the reasonableness of attorney’s fees must be evaluated with reference to “adequate documentation supporting the attorneys’ fees and costs,” which “should normally [include] contemporaneous time records indicating, for each attorney, the date, the hours expended, and the nature of the work done.” *Id.*; see *Strauss v. Little Fish Corp.*, 2020 WL 4041511, at *9 (S.D.N.Y. July 17, 2020) (LJL) (discussing the requirements for adequately justifying an attorney’s fee). Failure to provide the appropriate or sufficient documentation could result in the Court rejecting the proposed fee award.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 14, 2021
New York, New York



LEWIS J. LIMAN
United States District Judge